UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GERTRUDE MAE FLYNN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No
Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
v.	REDIEI
AIMBRIDGE HOSPITALITY, LLC,	
Defendant.	

Plaintiff Gertrude Mae Flynn, on behalf of herself and the proposed class (defined below), brings this action against Aimbridge Hospitality, LLC ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

- 1. For more than 20 years, the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") has required that individuals with disabilities be provided full and equal access to the goods, services and facilities provided by hotel owners and operators.
- 2. This mandate requires hotel businesses to provide individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services.
- 3. Defendant operates various hotels throughout the United States, and, as part of those operations, provides hotel customers transportation services.
- 4. Defendant has failed to make its transportation services fully accessible to individuals with disabilities, thereby denying those individuals the same benefits and privileges afforded to guests without disabilities.

5. Plaintiff accordingly seeks declaratory and injunctive relief establishing that Defendant has engaged in violations of the ADA, and requiring Defendant to comply with the ADA by providing individuals with disabilities accessible transportation services that are equivalent to the transportation services provided to nondisabled guests.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The claims alleged arise under Title III such that this Court's jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12188.
- 7. Personal jurisdiction exists for Defendant because it manages and/or operates multiple hotels located in Allegheny County, including the Holiday Inn Express & Suites, Pittsburgh South Hotel ("Holiday Inn").
- 8. Venue in the Western District of Pennsylvania is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because this is the judicial district in which a substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred.

PARTIES

- 9. Plaintiff Gertrude Mae Flynn, at all times relevant hereto, is and was a resident of Lawrence County, Pennsylvania.
 - 10. Plaintiff is a wheelchair user who is limited in the major life activity of walking.
- 11. As Plaintiff requires a wheelchair accessible vehicle in order to utilize transportation services offered by hotels, she has a personal interest in ensuring that hotels comply with federal requirements governing the provision of accessible transportation services.
- 12. Plaintiff is a tester in this litigation and a consumer who wishes to access Defendant's goods and services.

- 13. Plaintiff is a former board member of Disability Options Network and is a current member of the disability rights organization ADAPT.
- 14. Defendant Ambridge Hospitality, LLC is incorporated under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business in Plano, Texas.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. Defendant manages and/or operates many hotels throughout the United States.
- 16. As part of these operations, Defendant provides its customers transportation services, including, but not limited to, complimentary shuttle services.
- 17. Within the applicable limitations period, Plaintiff called the Holiday Inn and was told by an agent of Defendant that the Holiday Inn provides a complimentary shuttle service for guests.
- 18. Plaintiff was told that the complimentary shuttle service was not wheelchair accessible and was told that Defendant would not provide an alternative transportation service.
- 19. An investigation performed on Plaintiff's behalf confirmed the allegations made by Plaintiff above.
- 20. The investigation performed on behalf of Plaintiff further confirmed that, in addition to the Holiday Inn, Defendant manages and/or operates a substantial number of other hotels in the United States that offer transportation services to their guests, but do not offer equivalent transportation services to guests who use wheelchairs or scooters.
 - 21. These hotels include, but are not limited to, the following locations:
- A. Four Points by Sheraton located at 5115 Hopyard Road, Pleasanton, CA 94588;

- B. Hyatt Place Sacramento/Rancho Cordova located at 10744 Gold Center Drive, Rancho Cordova, CA 95670;
- C. Hyatt House Boston/Burlington located at 2 Van De Graaf Drive, Burlington, MA 01803;
- D. Holiday Inn Express & Suites Pittsburgh South Side located at 20 South 10th Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15203;
- E. Hyatt Place Pittsburgh/Cranberry located at 136 Emeryville Drive, Cranberry Township, PA 16066;
- F. Hyatt Place Pittsburgh Airport located at 6011 Campbells Run Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15205;
- G. Springhill Suites Pittsburgh Southside Works located at 2950 S. Water Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15203;
- H. Courtyard Fort Worth at Alliance Town Center located at 3001 Amador
 Drive, Fort Worth, TX 76177
- I. Courtyard by Marriott Killeen located at 1721 E. Central Texas Expressway, Killeen, TX 76541;
- J. Crowne Plaza Dallas near Galleria Addison located at 14315 Midway
 Road, Addison, TX 75001;
- K. Elian Hotel & Spa, Autograph Collection located at 18603 La Cantera
 Terrace, San Antonio, TX 78256;
- L. Embassy Suites by Hilton Dallas Love Field located at 3880 W. Northwest Highway, Dallas, TX 75220

- M. Hilton Garden Inn Dallas/Market Center located at 2325 North Stemmons
 Freeway, Dallas, TX 75207;
 - N. Hilton San Antonio Airport located at 611 NW Loop 410, San Antonio, TX
- O. Holiday Inn Houston Intercontinental Airport located at 15222 John F. Kennedy Boulevard, Houston, TX 77032;
- P. Holiday Inn Houston S NRG Area Medical Center located at 811 Kirby Drive, Houston, TX 77054;
- Q. Home 2 Home Suites by Hilton Dallas Addison located at 4875 Belt Line Road, Dallas, TX 75254;
- R. Homewood Suites by Hilton Dallas Irving Las Colinas located at 4300
 Wingren Drive, Irving, TX 75093;
- S. Homewood Suites by Hilton Dallas/Addison located at 4451 Beltine Road, Addison, TX 75001;
- T. Homewood Suites by Hilton North Dallas Plano located at 4705 Old Shepard Place, Plano, TX 75093;
- U. Hyatt Place Houston/Galleria located 5252 W. Alabama, Houston, TX 77056;
- V. San Antonio Marriott Northwest located at 3233 NW Loop 410, San Antonio, TX 78213;
- W. Sheraton McKinney Hotel located at 1900 Gateway Boulevard, McKinney,TX 75207;
- X. Sheraton Suites Market Center Dallas located at 2101 North Stemmons
 Freeway, Dallas, TX

- Y. Hyatt Deerfield located at 1750 Lake Cook Road, Deerfield, IL 60015;
- Z. Hyatt House Raleigh Durham Airport located at 10962 Chapel Hill Road,
 Morrisville, NC 27560;
 - AA. Hyatt House Shelton located at 830 Bridgeport Avenue, Shelton, CT 06484;
- BB. Hyatt House Sterling/Dulles Airport-North located at 45520 Dulles Plaza, Sterling, VA 20166;
- CC. Hyatt Place Albuquerque Airport located at 1400 Sunport Place SE, Albuquerque, NM 87106;
- DD. Hyatt Place Atlanta/Alpharetta/Windward Parkway located at 5595 Windward Parkway, Alpharetta, GA 3004;
- EE. Hyatt Place Atlanta/Norcross/Peachtree located at 5600 Peachtree Parkway, Norcross, GA 30092;
- FF. Hyatt Place Birmingham/Inverness located at 4686 Highway 280 East, Birmingham, GA 35242;
- GG. Hyatt Place Boise/Towne Square located at 925 North Milwaukee Street, Boise, ID 83704;
- HH. Hyatt Place Charlotte Airport/Tyvola Road located at 2950 Oak Lane Boulevard, Charlotte, NC 28208;
- II. Hyatt Place Chicago/Itasca located at 1150 North Arlington, Itasca, IL60143;
- JJ. Hyatt Place Cincinnati Airport/Florence located at 300 Meijer Drive, Florence, KY 40142;

- KK. Hyatt Place Cleveland/Independence, OH located at 6025 Jefferson Drive, Independence, OH 44131 and
- LL. Hyatt Place Detroit/Auburn Hills located at 1545 North Opdyke Road, Auburn Hills, MI 48326.
- 22. Plaintiff has been, and in the absence of an injunction will continue to be, injured by Defendant's policy and practice of failing to provide equivalent transportation services to persons with disabilities.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 23. Plaintiff brings this action under Rule 23(a) and (b)(2) of the federal rules of civil procedure and on behalf of herself and the following class: "All individuals who use wheelchairs or scooters for mobility and who have been, or in the future will be, denied the full and equal enjoyment of transportation services offered to guests at hotels owned and/or operated by Defendant because of the lack of equivalent accessible transportation services at those hotels."
- Numerosity: The class described above is so numerous that joinder of all individual members in one action would be impracticable. The disposition of the individual claims of the respective class members through this class action will benefit both the parties and the Court, and will facilitate judicial economy.
- 25. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the class. The claims of Plaintiff and members of the class are based on the same legal theories and arise from the same unlawful conduct.
- 26. <u>Common Questions of Fact and Law:</u> There is a well-defined community of interest and common questions of fact and law affecting members of the class in that they all have

been and/or are being denied their civil rights to full and equal access to, and use and enjoyment of, Defendant's goods, services and facilities due to the policies and practices described above.

- Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff is an adequate representative of the class because her interests do not conflict with the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiff will fairly, adequately, and vigorously represent and protect the interests of the members of the class and has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Plaintiff has retained counsel who are competent and experienced in the prosecution of class action litigation, generally, and who possess specific expertise in the context of class litigation under the ADA.
- 28. Class certification is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the class as a whole.

<u>CAUSE OF ACTION</u> Violations of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181, et seq.

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each and every allegation herein.
- 30. Plaintiff brings this claim individually and on behalf of the class.
- 31. Plaintiff is an individual with a disability under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)(A).
- 32. Defendant, a hospitality business, is public accommodation under the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7).
- 33. Title III of the ADA prohibits discrimination against individuals with disabilities in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 34. Defendant operates fixed route systems and demand responsive systems within the meaning of the ADA. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(3) and (4).

- 35. For fixed route systems, Defendant must meet the following requirements: a) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity over 16 passengers must be wheelchair-accessible; and b) for all purchases or leases after August 25, 1990, vehicles with a seating capacity of under 16 passengers must either be either wheelchair-accessible or equivalent service must be provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(B).
- 36. For demand responsive systems, Defendant must provide wheelchair-accessible vehicles or ensure that equivalent service is provided. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(C)
- 37. Defendant has engaged in illegal disability discrimination by, without limitation, failing to ensure that transportation vehicles in use at the hotels it manages and/or operates are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, by failing to ensure that its hotels provide equivalent accessible transportation services to such individuals, and/or by failing to ensure that personnel are trained to proficiency with respect to the provision of accessible transportation services.
- 38. Moreover, by failing to provide accessible transportation, Defendant has engaged, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, in illegal disability discrimination, as defined by Title III, including without limitation:
- a) denying individuals with mobility disabilities opportunities to participate in and benefit from the goods, services and facilities available at Defendant's hotels;
- b) affording individuals with mobility disabilities unequal access to goods, services or facilities;
- c) utilizing methods of administration that (i) have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability; or (ii) perpetuating the discrimination of others who are subject to common administrative control; and

- d) failing to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures where necessary to afford services, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with mobility disabilities.
- 39. Defendant's ongoing and continuing violations of Title III have caused, and in the absence to an injunction will continue to cause harm to the Plaintiff and the class.
- 40. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff requests relief as set forth below.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests judgement as follows:

- a. A Declaratory Judgment that at the commencement of this action Defendant was in violation of the specific requirements of Title III of the ADA described above, and the relevant implementing regulations of the ADA, in that Defendant failed to take action reasonably calculated to ensure that Defendant's transportation services were fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with mobility disabilities;
- b. A permanent injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 CFR § 36.501 which direct Defendant to take all steps necessary to bring its transportation services into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that those transportation services are fully accessible to, and independently usable by, individuals with disabilities, and which further directs that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for a period to be determined to ensure that Defendant has adopted and is following an institutional policy that will in fact cause Defendant to remain fully in compliance with the law.
- c. An Order Certifying the proposed class, naming Plaintiff as the representative of the class, and designating counsel for Plaintiff as class counsel;

Case 2:17-cv-01649-JFC Document 1 Filed 12/20/17 Page 11 of 11

- d. Payment of costs and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided for by law; and
- e. Such other additional or alternative relief as the Court finds just and proper.

Dated: December 20, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ R Bruce Carlson

R. Bruce Carlson
bcarlson@carlsonlynch.com
Gary F. Lynch
glynch@carlsonlynch.com
Kevin Abramowicz
kabramowicz@carlsonlynch.com
CARLSON LYNCH SWEET KILPELA &
CARPENTER, LLP
1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor
Pittsburgh PA, 15222
(412) 322-9243